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Abstract

We investigate the dynamics of the one-dimensional strongly repulsive spin-1/2 Bose-Hubbard model for filling \( \nu \leq 1 \). While at \( \nu = 1 \) the system is a Hubbard-Mott insulator exhibiting dynamical properties of the Heisenberg ferromagnet, at \( \nu < 1 \) it is a ferromagnetic liquid with complex spin dynamics. We find that close to the isolated-liquid transition the system admits for a complete separation of spin and density degrees of freedom valid at all energy and momentum scales within the \( t-J \) approximation. This allows us to derive the propagator of transverse spin waves and the shape of the magnon peak in the dynamic spin structure factor.

Collective dynamics of interacting quantum particles in a periodic potential is a paradigmatic problem of many-body physics. The simplest model incorporating the competition between the interaction and kinetic energy on a lattice is the Hubbard model \([1]\). For fermions, this model has been the cornerstone of the theory of strongly correlated systems. In particular, it describes the transition from a Mott insulator at a commensurate filling to a metallic state when the system is doped. The nature and properties of the weakly doped state remain the subject of fierce debate, in which an interplay between the spin and charge degrees of freedom valid at all energy and momentum scales within the \( t-J \) approximation.

In this Letter we consider the two-component (spin-1/2) 1D Bose-Hubbard model with strong on-site repulsion. We find that in the vicinity of the liquid to Mott-insulator transition the system admits for a complete spin-charge separation at all energy and momentum scales within the \( t-J \) approximation. This result makes possible explicit calculation of dynamic correlation functions of the system. In particular, we calculate the Green’s function of transverse spin excitation (magnon) and derive the shape of the magnon peak in the dynamic spin structure factor.

The Hamiltonian of the repulsive \((U > 0)\) spin 1/2 BH model on a 1D lattice with \( M \) sites is

\[
H = -\frac{t_b}{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( b^\dagger_{aj} b_{aj+1} + H.c. \right) + \frac{U}{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \mathcal{Q}_j (\mathcal{Q}_j - 1) \tag{1}
\]

In this, expressions \( b^\dagger_{aj}, b_{aj}, \) and \( \mathcal{Q}_j = b^\dagger_{aj} b_{aj} + b^\dagger_{aj} b_{aj} \) are the creation, annihilation, and the particle number operators on the \( j \)th lattice site, respectively. For a given particle number \( N \) one defines the filling factor \( \nu = N/M \).

We derive an effective Hamiltonian valid for large on-site repulsion, \( U \gg t_b \), from Eq. (1) in analogy with the \( t-J \) approximation for the Hubbard model \([13]\). This is done by treating the potential term in Eq. (1) as the leading order approximation. This allows us to derive the propagator of transverse spin waves and the shape of the magnon peak in the dynamic spin structure factor.
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Hamiltonian, the kinetic term as a perturbation, and expanding over this perturbation to the second order. It is convenient to write the resulting $t$-$J$ Hamiltonian using the operators $c_j^\dagger$, $c_j$, and $\ell$, which have been previously used for the analysis of the collective dynamics of spin and charge excitations in fermion [14] and boson [9,10] systems. We have $H_{\text{eff}} = H_c + H_s$, where

$$H_c = -t_h \sum_{j=1}^M (c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} + \text{H.c.}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

and

$$H_s = \frac{t_s^2}{2U} \sum_{j=1}^M (c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j + c_j^\dagger c_{j+1}^\dagger c_{j+1} c_j + 2 c_j^\dagger c_j^\dagger) \left[ \ell(\mathcal{N}_j) + \ell(\mathcal{N}_j + 1) \right]^2. \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

In these expressions $c_j^\dagger$ ($c_j$) are spinless fermion creation (annihilation) operators, $\mathcal{N}_j = \sum_{n=1}^j \varrho_n$ counts the number of particles between the first and $j$th lattice site. The operator $\ell(x,\mathcal{N}_j)$, $s = x, y, z$ represents the spin state of the nearest particle to the left of the site $j$ and is defined by

$$\ell_s(\mathcal{N}_j) = \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{\ell_s(m)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\lambda e^{i\lambda(m - \mathcal{N}_j)}, \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $\ell_s(m)$ are local spin-1/2 operators on a lattice, $[\ell_s(m), \ell_s(n)] = i\delta_{mn} \ell_s(n)$. Note that in the sector with maximal value of the total spin Eq. (3) gives the $t$-$J$ approximation of the spinless BH model; cf. Eq. (4) of Ref. [15].

While $H_c$ generates the time evolution of free spinless fermions, spin and charge (density) degrees of freedom are coupled in $H_s$ nontrivially. An advantage of the representation (3) is that in the vicinity of the Mott phase, $1 - \nu \ll 1$, which we focus on in our Letter, one can neglect quantum fluctuations of $c$-dependent operators in $H_s$. As a result one gets the Hamiltonian of the Heisenberg ferromagnet

$$H_s = -2J \sum_{j=1}^N \left[ \ell(j) \ell(j+1) - \frac{1}{4} \right], \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

with the exchange constant $J = t_s^2/U$. We shall see that already within the approximation (5) the dynamics of the system is nontrivial. The analysis of perturbative corrections in $-1 - \nu$ to Eq. (5) remains an interesting open question.

We now proceed to the investigation of spin dynamics above the fully polarized ground state $|\uparrow\rangle$ of the Hamiltonian (1). Like the ferromagnetic Bose gas [8], a nontrivial part of this dynamics is encoded in the correlation function of transverse spin excitations

$$G_\perp(j, t) = \langle \uparrow | s_+(j, t) s_-(0, 0) | \uparrow \rangle, \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where $s_+(j) = b_j^\dagger b_j$ and $s_-(j) = [s_+(j)]^\dagger$. Since $G_\perp(j, t) = G_\perp(-j, t)$ and $G_\perp^*(j, t) = G_\perp(-j, -t)$ we consider $j, t \geq 0$. We focus on the regime of weak hole doping, $\mu$, and strong on-site repulsion:

$$\mu = 1 - \nu \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad J_{th}^{-1} = t_h U^{-1} \ll 1. \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

Length and time scales in our problem in the regime (7) are set up by the parameters $q_F$ and $t_F$, respectively:

$$q_F = \pi \mu, \quad t_F = E_F^2, \quad E_F = q_F^2 t_h. \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

Combining Eqs. (7) and (8) we get three dimensionless small parameters: $q_F / J_{th}$, and $E_F / t_h$.

To calculate $G_\perp$ using Eqs. (2) and (5), we express the operators $s_\pm$ through $c^\dagger, c$, and $\ell_\pm = \ell_x \pm i\ell_y$,

$$s_-(j) = q_j \ell_-(\mathcal{N}_j) \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

and factorize the ground state $|\uparrow\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle_H \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$, where $|\uparrow\rangle_H$ is the fully polarized ground state of $H_s$, Eq. (5), and $|\uparrow\rangle$ is the ground state of $H_c$, Eq. (2), at a given $\nu$. For Eq. (6) this gives

$$G_\perp(j, t) = \int_0^\pi d\lambda g_H(\lambda, t) D_\nu(\lambda; j, t). \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

Here $g_H(\lambda, t \equiv \exp[-iE_\nu(\lambda)]/2\pi$ is the space Fourier transform of the transverse spin-spin Green’s function $\nu(\ell(\mathcal{N}_j), \ell(0, 0)) = \langle |\uparrow\rangle_\mathcal{N}_j \ell(\mathcal{N}_j) |\uparrow\rangle_H$ of the Heisenberg ferromagnet (5), and $E_\nu(\lambda) = 2J t_h (1 - \cos \lambda)$ is the dispersion of a single magnon in that model. The fluctuations of density enter Eq. (10) through the Green’s function [16]

$$D_\nu(\lambda; j, t) = \langle \text{FS} \mid e^{-i\lambda \mathcal{N}_j} \mid e^{i\lambda \mathcal{N}_j} | 0 \rangle_{\text{FS}}. \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

We stress that though $g_H$ ($D_\nu$) contains collective spin (density) degrees of freedom only, spin and density are coupled to each other in $G_\perp$ through the integration over $\lambda$ in Eq. (10).

There are two ways to analyze Eq. (11). Like other free fermion Green’s functions [17], it can be represented as a Fredholm determinant [18]. The large space, $q_F / t_F > 1$, or time, $t / t_F > 1$, asymptotics of this determinant can be found using the results of Ref. [19], though the calculations are rather involved. Alternatively, large space-time asymptotics of Eq. (11) can be calculated using the LL formalism. There the operator $\mathcal{N}_j(t)$ is given by $\mathcal{N}_j(t) = v_f j - \pi^{-1} \partial_x \phi(x, t)$, where $\phi$ is a free bosonic field and $x = aj, a$ is the lattice spacing [20]. Thus,

$$D_\nu(\lambda; j, t) \approx \exp \left\{ -i\lambda \nu j - \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi^2} \ln \frac{|j|^2 - v_F^2 e^{t_F}}{v_F^2 t_F^2} \right\}. \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

Here $v_F = 2t_h q_F$ is the Fermi velocity of the holes, which coincides with the sound velocity of the system. The cutoff parameter $t_c \sim t_F$. Its explicit value cannot be determined within the LL formalism, while the analysis of the Fredholm determinant gives $t_c = e^{-1 - \gamma - \ln t_F} = 5.16 \times 10^{-2} t_F$, where $\gamma = 0.577 \ldots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni con-
stant [21]. Having the result (12), we can investigate different parametric regimes shown in Fig. 1.

(A) $J/t_h > q_F$. The doping is so small that during a large time $t$ the spin excitation evolves like in the undoped system:

$$G_\perp(j, t) = e^{-i(j/2)}e^{2ji\pi t/2}J_j(2Jt), \quad t < t_\ast,$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $J_i$ is the Bessel function of the first kind. To estimate $t_\ast$ note that Eq. (13) can be obtained by letting $\nu = 1$ in Eq. (11) which implies $D_{p,q}(\lambda; j, t) = e^{i\lambda j}$. Such an approximation is valid as long as $q_F < 1$ and $t < t_F$. As $j \to \infty$ one has $J_i \sim e^{-j\nu^2}$ starting from $j > 2Jt$ and due to the exponential decay possible deviations of $G_\perp$ from what is predicted by Eq. (13) become immaterial for larger $j$. One thus arrives at the condition $2Jq_F t < 1$, which implies $t_\ast = 1/2Jq_F < t_F$.

For $t > t_F$ one can use Eq. (12) and the integral in Eq. (10) is dominated by the saddle point $\lambda = \arcsin(-j/2Jt - i)$. Therefore,

$$G_\perp(j, t) \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\text{j}t\cos\lambda}} G_H(\lambda, t)D_\nu(\lambda, j, t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

with $D_\nu$ given by Eq. (12). One can see that $G_\perp$ oscillates as a function of $j$ for $j < 2Jt$ and decays as $e^{-j\nu^2}$ for $j > 2Jt$. The divergence of Eq. (14) as $j \to v_Ft$ customarily called light-cone singularity, indicates what fraction of a collective excitation propagates with the velocity of sound.

(B) $E_F/t_h < J/t_h < q_F$. Here the result (13) is valid for $t < t_F$ and it crosses over to Eq. (14) for $t > t_F$. The main difference from the case (A) is the absence of the light-cone singularity. Indeed, the exponential decay of Eq. (14) due to the imaginary part of $\lambda$, starts before $j$ reaches the light cone, $v_Ft$. Note that one can use $D_\nu(\lambda, j, t) = e^{-i\lambda j}D_\nu(\lambda, 0, t)$ in Eq. (14) in this regime.

(C) $J/t_h < E_F/t_h$. Here for $t < t_F$ the spin excitation does not propagate at all, $G_\perp(j, t) = \delta_{0j}$. For $t > t_F$ and $2\pi^2Jt < \ln(t/t_h)$ (the latter inequality could be approximately written as $t < J^{-1}$) the integral in Eq. (10) is dominated by the region $|\lambda| < 2\pi/\sqrt{\ln(t/t_h)}$ and the result of the integration is [22]

$$G_\perp(j, t) \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\ln(t/t_h)}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\pi j)^2}{2\ln(t/t_h)}\right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

For this calculation we replaced $|j-v_Ft|$ by $v_Ft$ in Eq. (12): keeping the light-cone singularity in Eq. (15) would be unphysical since $G_\perp$ decays as a Gaussian at distances $j \sim \sqrt{\ln(t/t_h)} \ll v_Ft$. The physical interpretation of Eq. (15) is that the propagation of spin excitation is caused by the fluctuations of the position of the particle carrying this spin rather than by exchange processes. The same propagation mechanism takes place in the continuum limit in the trapped regime; cf. Eq. (6) of Ref. [8]. Finally, for $t > t_F$ and $2\pi^2Jt > \ln(t/t_h)$ the asymptotics of $G_\perp$ is given by Eq. (14) and the analysis carried out for the case (B) applies. In particular, $G_\perp \sim e^{-j\nu^2}$ as $j \to \infty$, which is pronouncedly different from the Gaussian decay of $G_\perp$ in the continuum limit; cf. Eq. (16) of Ref. [8]. This difference stems from different propagation mechanisms for the spin excitations in the $v \ll 1$ and $1 - v \ll 1$ regimes: while in the former both longitudinal and transverse spin excitations are carried by particles, in the latter longitudinal spin (that is, density) excitations are carried by holes and transverse spin excitations by particles.

The rest of this Letter is devoted to the analysis of the spectral function $A(k, \omega) = \sum_j \delta(\omega - E_j(k))|\langle f, k|s...|\rangle|^2$, where $H[f, k] = E_j(k)f, k$ and $f$ enumerates all the states with a given momentum $k$. Using Eq. (10) and the identity $D_\nu(\lambda; j, t) = e^{-i\lambda j}D_\nu(-\lambda; j, t)$ following from particle-hole symmetry, we write

$$A(k, \omega) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} D_\nu(\lambda; k - \lambda, \omega - E_j(\lambda)),$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $D_\nu$ is the Fourier transform of $D_\nu(\lambda; j, t)$ with respect to $j$ and $t$ and $E_j(\lambda)$ is defined below Eq. (10). For $k$ and $\omega \to 0$ Eq. (12) implies

$$\tilde{D}_\nu(\lambda; k, \omega) \sim e^{-\omega t} \theta(\omega - 2\pi |p|)[\omega^2 - (2\pi p)^2]^{\alpha(\lambda)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $p = k + \lambda \mu$ and $\alpha(\lambda) = -1 + (\lambda/2\pi)^2$. Substituting Eq. (17) into (16) we arrive at

$$A(k, \omega) \sim \theta(\omega - E_j(k/v))\left[\omega^2 - E_s(k/v)^2\right]^{\Delta(k)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

valid for $\omega$ close to $E_s(k/v)$ and $-\pi < |k| < \pi$. The threshold exponent $\Delta(k)$ in Eq. (18) is

$$\Delta(k) = -1 + \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2}. \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

Equations (18) and (19) constitute one of the main results of this Letter, so let us discuss them in more detail. The threshold exponents of the spectral functions were calculated for several classes of 1D interacting models, mostly using quite involved techniques [23]. Probably, the closest to our work are the studies of $A(k, \omega)$ in 1D ferromagnetic Bose gas, the model defined by taking the continuum limit of Eq. (1). In this model $\Delta(k)$, found in Refs. [8,10,11], is also given by Eq. (19), but with a different coefficient in front of the $k^2$ term. Moreover, according to Ref. [11], any Galilean-invariant system of Bose particles with ferromagnetic ground state should have $\Delta(k)$ given by Eq. (19). We

FIG. 1. Shown are the parametric regimes (A), (B), and (C) corresponding to different relative values of the parameters $q_F = \pi \mu, J/t_h$, and $E_F/t_h$. 
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see that the BH model, which is not Galilean invariant, still has the threshold exponent (19) at $1 - \nu \ll 1$. Whether this is an accident, or a manifestation of some fundamental principle other than Galilean invariance, is an open question. A deeper understanding of this issue could be obtained by calculating the threshold exponent for the BH model at arbitrary filling, which is not yet done.

To go beyond the asymptotic result (18) we perform [21] the numerical analysis of the Fredholm determinant representation of Eq. (11), given in Ref. [18]. The resulting plots of $A(k, \omega)$ for $Jk^{-1} = 0.04$ and $q_F = 0.1$ are given in Fig. 2. One can clearly see in this figure the following. (i) The position of the threshold singularity is described by Eq. (18). (ii) $A(k, \omega)$ extends below the threshold, which is particularly well seen for $k = 3\pi/4$. This effect is due to the emission by a spin excitation of multiple particle-hole pairs with the dispersion lying below $E_{\nu}(k/\nu)$. Within the numerical precision we observe an abrupt cutoff of the spectral weight at some finite frequency below $E_{\nu}(k/\nu)$ (for example, at $\omega/2J = 1.6$ for $k = 3\pi/4$). The reason for such a behavior is unclear. (iii) To the right of the threshold singularity a second peak develops with increasing $k$, becoming very pronounced for $k = \pi$. The theoretical explanation of its appearance is an open problem.

In conclusion, we have investigated the dynamics of the spin-1/2 Bose-Hubbard model with strong on-site repulsion and small hole doping. We showed that this system exhibits a transition from a Mott-insulator phase to a ferromagnetic liquid. We found collective variables in which spin and charge dynamics separate at all energy and momentum scales within the $t$-$J$ approximation. Using these variables we obtain the transverse spin-spin correlation function $G_1$, Eq. (6), in the form (10) and (11), convenient for both analytic and numerical investigation. For the spectral function $A(k, \omega)$ associated with $G_1$, we have found the analytic expression for the shape of the magnon peak, Eqs. (18) and (19). Numerical analysis of Eq. (16) revealed a nontrivial fine structure of the magnon peak, as shown in Fig. 2.
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16. In getting Eq. (11) we replaced $\tilde{q}_F$ in Eq. (9) with its ground state average, which is justified for $1 - \nu \ll 1$.
20. For the LL formalism we use the notations of Ref. [7].
22. SU(2) invariance of Eq. (1) implies $\sum G_1(j, t) = 1$. Using this property one can calculate the prefactor in the asymptotic expression of $G_1$.