

Network inference in the presence of latent confounders: The role of instantaneous causalities

Helen Shiells ICSMB, University of Aberdeen <u>r01hcs14@abdn.ac.uk</u>

Direct Measurement



http://www.visualisingdata.com/blog/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/ World_FlightLines_BioDiaspora-600x393.jpg

Indirect Measurement



http://www.geneticsandsociety.org/article.php?id=8177

EEG



http://recherche.parisdescartes.fr/LaboratoireMemoireCognition_esl/ Moyens-Techniques/EEG-Platform

Vector Autoregressive (VAR) Model



Inferring Influence Between Time Series



Detected using: Renormalised partial directed coherence (rPDC) Detected using: Inverse of the partial covariance matrix of the noise

Granger Causality

- In this instance causality = Granger causality.
- Causes will always precede their effects in time.
- Granger presented his idea independently of a model.

Creating a Latent Confounder





Measured Sub-Systems







2-D subnetworks

Reconstructed Network



Latent Confounder or Volume Conduction?



Latent Confounder

OR



Volume Conduction

Latent Confounder or Volume Conduction?



Crucial Links for Reconstruction



Conclusion

- The inverse of the partial covariance of the noise contains information about instantaneous interactions.
- In some case complete network reconstruction is possible.
- Our novel approach allows us, for the first time, to identify between volume conduction and latent confounders.